Rayleigh-Taylor instability in elastoplastic solids: a local, catastrophic process
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Abstract: We show that the Rayleigh-Taylor instability in elastoplastic solids takes the form of local perturbations penetrating the material independently of the interface size, in contrast with the theory for simple elastic materials. Then, even just beyond the stable domain, the instability abruptly develops as bursts rapidly moving through the other medium. We show that this is due to the resistance to penetration of a finger which is minimal for a specific finger size and drops to a much lower value beyond a small depth (a few millimeters).

The Rayleigh-Taylor Instability (RTI) is a well-known instability which occurs when a denser fluid rests on top of a lighter one [1]. As it develops, the two fluids penetrate one another, in the form of fingers. Instability is driven by density difference and the acceleration to which the fluids are submitted, while surface tension provides a stabilizing effect. In contrast, RTI in solids is much less studied and understood, even though it relates to many application fields and can cause irreversible damage to structures. Examples include metal plates submitted to strong pressure or acceleration in high-energy density physics experiments [2], magnetic implosion of impactor liners [3,4] assessment of solid strength under high strain-rate [5], slowly accreting neutron stars [6]. Other applications are found in geology: volcanic island formation [7], salt dome formation [8], and more generally magmatic diapirism in the Earth’s mantle and continental crust [9,10], correspond to situations where a liquid opens its way through a layer of denser solid material above it. In most approaches of this problem [7-9,11], the upper material was considered as a highly viscous fluid, which allowed simple simulations of the process, but could also be misleading. Another situation concerns oil well cementing operations, in which yield stress fluids of different densities (drilling muds and cement, e.g), which behave as solids at rest, may be pumped into the well in an ill-favored density order [12].

The basic approach to RTI for solids assumes linear elastic materials. The problem appears similar to that for simple fluids, except that the role of surface tension effects, neglected for solids, is played by elasticity. For a single solid above a liquid with a (positive) density difference $\Delta \rho$, the instability criterion (A) is given by $g\Delta \rho > 4 \alpha \pi G / L$, where $G$ and $L$ are the shear modulus and length of the sample, respectively, and $g$ denotes the acceleration due to gravity. Depending on boundary conditions, factor $\alpha$ was found to be 1 [3, 13], 1.6 [14] or 2 [15]. A couple of experiments on metal plates [16] and with a yogurt [17] provided some support to this theory. From a more complete study [18] using soft elastic solids, the overall validity of this approach was proved but the wavelength was shown to be smaller than expected from theory, and depending on uncontrollable, slight disturbances of the surface (see also Supplemental).

RTI for solids is further complicated by the fact that yielding may occur beyond a critical deformation. So far, this aspect has been considered separately, leading to the conclusion that instability results from a sufficiently large initial perturbation amplitude $\varepsilon_0$ (penetration depth). The instability criterion (B) then reads $g\Delta \rho > \beta \tau_c / \varepsilon_0$, where $\tau_c$ denotes the material yield stress (in simple shear), and where $0.5 \leq \beta \leq 2$ depending on the sample aspect ratio [13-15, 18-20]. Some tests with a single material were apparently in agreement with this criterion [17] but the plastic regime for this material was not so well-defined (see Supplemental). Finally it was suggested [2] that elastic and plastic stability criteria should be taken into account successively, and deep theoretical analysis [21] predicts that for plastic materials once the threshold is reached somewhere the perturbation grows unlimitedly.

These approaches have the advantage of considering independently the effects of elasticity and of yielding. However, one cannot exclude that the interplay of both
mechanisms could play a crucial role in the early stage of perturbation growth.

Here we aim at clarifying this problem through experiments on well-characterized materials, linearly elastic below a critical deformation, and elastoplastic beyond this deformation. We show that the RTI in solid does not develop as predicted by the theory for simple elastic materials, but results from the ability of local perturbations to penetrate the material by involving, from the start, both elastic and plastic effects. At some point during the process, resistance to penetration drops, causing an abrupt instability in the form of bursts rapidly moving through the other medium.

Figure 1: (color online) RTI development for (a) viscous Newtonian fluid (Silicone oil, 0.35 Pa.s viscosity) initially below a denser colored water-ethanol solution (Δρ/ρ = 1.3%) at times (from top to bottom): 0 (mid-time of gate opening), 6, 12, 18, and 24 s; and (b) a concentrated (white) emulsion (τc = 9.6 Pa) initially below a (brown) denser salt solution (Δρ = 600 kg.m⁻³) at times: 0, 1.9, 3, 3.8, and 4.5s.

Our RTI experiments are carried out in a rectangular tank (length L = 15 cm, width D = 6 cm, height h = 12 cm) initially filled with a fluid of density ρ. A thin tab is placed in contact with the upper surface of this fluid, and a denser salt solution (0-10 mol/l sodium iodide in water) slowly poured over it. Finally the tab is withdrawn along the smallest side of the tank, and we monitor the interface with a 13 frame-per-second video device (see supplemental material). For Newtonian fluids as bottom and top media, we observe a transition to instability for Δρ/ρ in the range 0.4-0.8%. Considering the uncertainty on density measurements, the obtained values are in good agreement with the theoretical prediction in infinite space (Δρ/ρ = 4π²σ/ρgL² = 0.48%, where σ = 0.027 N.m⁻¹) for negligible edge effects [22], and approximating our system as 2D. As expected from theory, close to the critical Δρ value, the finger wavelength is of the order of the tank length (see Figure 1a) and decreases for increasing Δρ. Moreover, we checked that increasing the bottom fluid viscosity has no impact on the critical density difference and wavelength, other than simply making the whole fingering process slower.

We now turn to concentrated inverse emulsions as bottom material (see Supplemental). These emulsions are yield stress fluids (YSF), i.e. they behave as solids below a critical stress (τc), and flow like liquids beyond τc [23]. In the solid regime they are purely elastic for sufficiently low stress, and elastoplastic at higher stresses, as can be observed from the partial strain recovery for stress release (see Figure 2). Two rheological parameters (same experimental conditions as in [24]), apparently related (see inset of Figure 2) well characterize the solid regime: the elastic modulus G in the linear regime, and the yield stress τc, determined as the stress plateau from (creep) tests at a low, fixed shear rate.

Figure 2: Creep test for an emulsion (τc = 54 Pa): (filled squares) stress vs strain when applying a stress ramp; (crossed squares) final strain after stress release (no stress imposed) at some point during ramp; dotted lines, stress-strain path. Inset: G measured in the region of negligible final strain after stress release, as a function of yield stress, for emulsions at different concentrations; the dotted line corresponds to G = 1401ln(τc/3.2).
In order to maintain the YSF in its solid state after it has been set up in the tank, we now gently place between its free surface and the tab a thin layer (about 3 mm thick) of salt solution with a density 20% smaller than that above the tab. When the tab is removed, the two salt solutions rapidly diffuse through each other, leading to a new top Newtonian fluid of density $\rho_i$. The tab displacement and this mixing induce negligible deformations of the YSF (see Supplemental). Besides, it was checked that the salt solution does not affect the emulsion behavior over the test duration.

Two very different situations are observed when the tab is withdrawn (in about 2 s). In one case, the interface does not move at all, even after a long time (say one hour). In the other, despite the high apparent viscosity of the YSF, a very fast motion of the YSF into the Newtonian solution occurs in the form of 1 to 2 cm-wide mushroom-like bursts. These burst penetrate the liquid above at different distances from the walls (as seen from their different colors in Figure 1) and reach the top of the tank in a time of the order of one second. Soon after this stage, new fingers appear, and eventually the two fluids fully overlap. Although not visible in Figure 1, fingers of Newtonian fluid form and penetrate the YSF in a similar way to YSF fingers penetrating the Newtonian fluid but in the opposite direction.

Additional features are remarkable: (i) in unstable cases, even under conditions close to stability, the instability starts soon after the beginning of tab withdrawal; (ii) the transition to instability occurs under almost the same conditions when the tank length is 7 or 15 cm; (iii) in our range of experimental conditions (tank size, yield stress), the size of the unstable bursts (i.e. half the instability wavelength) approximately keeps a constant value, significantly smaller than the interface length.

Thus the RTI for YSF exhibits striking differences with the instability for simple fluids: just at the transition, which is independent of the sample length, fingers, much smaller than the container, form and develop in a catastrophic way through a material of relatively high apparent viscosity. This demonstrates that the elastic theory, which predicts qualitative trends akin to those for simple fluids at the onset of instability, does not apply.

The transition between stable and unstable situations occurs when either $\Delta \rho = \rho_i - \rho$ is increased, or when $G$ (or equivalently $\tau_e$) is decreased (see Fig. 3). These results are reproducible with an uncertainty of 10% on the critical elastic modulus value. It appears that the stable and the unstable cases are approximately separated by a line going through the origin, $G \propto \Delta \rho$ (see Fig. 3). This result cannot be considered as corresponding to criterion (A) for the above reasons. Alternatively, the instability could be governed by the yielding of the fluid for sufficient initial perturbation amplitudes, which would lead to a criterion akin to (B) corresponding to $\tau_e \propto \Delta \rho$ which can also roughly represents the transition (see inset of Fig. 3). With such solid-liquid materials, it is difficult to get a perfectly planar initial free surface, there indeed always remains some unevenness, in particular along the corners of the interface (see Figure 1b). This criterion (with $\beta = 1$) would effectively predict our instability limit if the unevenness of the YSF upper surface had a constant amplitude under all experimental conditions ($\varepsilon_0 = 1.4 \text{ mm}$), which is not realistic. Moreover, a stable situation close to the instability limit remains stable unless we strongly perturb the interface, for example by digging a finger-size object over about two centimeters into the YSF. This confirms that the instability does not simply develop as a result of significant initial perturbation amplitude.

These observations demonstrate that the initial perturbation growth, leading to fingering, differs from usual instability processes considered so far. More precisely, they suggest that, under some conditions, the fingering phenomenon has a more local origin than expected. In order to investigate further the process of finger growth we measure the force needed to push vertically an object (thickness $\varepsilon$, width 7 cm) with a rounded edge (radius of curvature $\varepsilon / 2$) against the interface at the center of an emulsion bath in a cylindrical container (13 cm diameter). We compute the resisting force ($F$) by withdrawing the buoyancy force, estimated assuming an exact immersion of $h$ and taking into account the rounded shape of the object edge. Note that similar results are obtained with different types of conditions when the tank length is 7 or 15 cm

![Figure 3: RTI results for YSF in terms of elastic modulus as a function of density difference for $L = 7 \text{ cm}$ (triangles) or $L = 15 \text{ cm}$ (squares): stable cases (filled symbols), unstable cases (open symbols). The dotted line is a guide for the eyes. The inset shows the same data represented in terms of $\tau_e$ with the corresponding dotted line (consistent with the $G$ vs $\tau_e$ variation) and a straight dashed line as a guide for the eye.](image-url)
plate surface (rough/smooth and hydrophilic/hydrophobic) (see Supplemental), which means that the plate-fluid interface plays here a minor role. This supports the idea that this test mimics the penetration of a fluid finger through the YSF with negligible viscous stress resulting from the contact between the two fluids.

The first critical observation is that for a YSF $F$ grows much less rapidly with $h$ than for a linear elastic material (a gelatin) of similar elastic modulus (see Fig. 4), even for very low depth, i.e. corresponding to very small deformation. This means that some plastic flow occurs as soon as the penetration starts. Actually if we inverse the direction of motion from some depth the deformation recovery is very small even for small $h$ (i.e. small deformation) (see Figure 4). This indicates that, in contrast with creep tests in simple shear (see Figure 2) the penetration is mostly related to plastic flow from the beginning of the process.

It is likely that the deformation of the interface, even small, immediately induces plastic deformations around the plate but the rest of the material undergoes elastic deformations. This is consistent with the observation that during a reverse motion of the plate the force curve decreases towards zero with a slope similar to that of the force vs depth curve for gelatin of same elastic modulus. This shows that most of the material is deformed elastically as for a pure elastic solid, but the force needed for penetration is much lower due to a plastic flow in a small region around the plate, and thus the deformations induced in the rest of the material are smaller.

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4**: (color online) Plate pushed against a YSF ($\tau_c = 54 \text{ Pa}$) bath at a velocity of 0.3 mm/s: force as a function of penetration depth, both rescaled by plate thickness for different $e$ (from bottom to top): 2, 4, 8, 16 mm (black continuous lines), 30 mm (dashed line). Thick (red) continuous line: simple elastic material (gelatin, $G = 400 \text{ Pa}$) with $e = 8 \text{ mm}$. Thin (blue) continuous lines: recovery tests. The arrows show the direction of plate motion. Inset: initial slope of the force curve ($\theta$) as a function of $G$ (for the same emulsion at different concentrations).

The $F(h)$ curves exhibit simple generic trends. In a first stage, i.e. up to $h \approx e/2$, $F$ is independent of speed (in the range 0.01-3 mm/s) and of $e$ as long as $e/L$ is sufficiently small, so that we obtain a master curve when rescaling $F$ and $h$ by $e$ (see Fig. 4). These results mean that in the initial regime the process of penetration essentially involves (elastic and plastic) deformations in the solid state and develops in similarity at the different scales as long as we are far from the edges. Moreover, similar tests with the same material type at different concentrations show that $F'(h)_{h=0} = \theta$ is simply proportional to $G$ (see Fig. 4, inset).

Turning back to the RTI problem we deduce that the force (per unit length of plate in the horizontal direction) associated with the beginning of penetration of a perturbation may be approximately written $\chi G h$, where $\chi$ is constant for a sufficiently small perturbation wavelength to container size ratio and increases beyond some critical value $e_c$ (see Fig. 4) (here $e_c/L = 0.15 \pm 15\%$). Note that $\chi$ depends on the shape of the perturbation and $e_c$ depends on the container size. Thus we can expect the situation to be unstable if a perturbation of small size can overcome the resisting force in the first regime. Some irregularities of the interface due to its unevenness lead to the existence of pre-existing perturbations of various shapes. Let us consider one of them of width $e$; the additional force (per unit length) resulting from density difference if the perturbation digs further of a (small) depth $h$ while keeping its front shape is $\Delta \rho e h$. As a consequence, as long as $\chi G > \Delta \rho e$, the situation is stable. Otherwise, if $\chi G < \Delta \rho e$, any slight further digging of a small $h$ value will degenerate, an instability will occur. Since $\chi$ increases faster than $e$ for $e > e_c$, as appears in Figure 4 (see force curve for $e = 30 \text{ mm}$), the first unstable case reached when increasing $\Delta \rho$ or decreasing $G$ corresponds to $e = e_c$. Finally, assuming that all the perturbation sizes are equally probable, we get a criterion of instability formally analogous to (A), but (i) with an origin of instability which is now essentially local, meaning here sufficiently far from the boundaries, and (ii) with a major role of plastic flow. It is remarkable that the typical finger width value expected from the above approach ($e_c \approx 2 \text{ cm}$) is close to that observed in our RTI (in a different geometry). These results also imply that surface tension effects do not play any role in this instability in our range of material properties (see Supplemental).
Another specific feature of RTI with yield stress fluids is the rapidity at which the perturbation progresses even very close to the instability limit. The origin of this catastrophic process can be identified through the $F(h)$ curves. Indeed, between $h = e/4$ to $h = e$ the slope ($F'(h)$) of the master curve strongly decreases (by a factor of about 9), such that the ratio of $F$ to $\Delta \rho ge h$ drops dramatically as the perturbation further progresses.

This behavior can be explained by the observation of the flow field surrounding the plate. It was recently shown from direct velocity field measurements inside the fluid [24-25] that in this regime a liquid region of uniform thickness ($\lambda$) develops along the plate, in which the fluid is almost homogeneously sheared (at a shear rate $\dot{\gamma} \approx V/\lambda$) while the rest of the material (outside this uniform layer) is only deformed in its solid regime as the plate advances. In that case the resisting force per unit length is roughly $2\tau(\dot{\gamma})h$ (neglecting the edges), which decreases to $2\tau h$ as $V \rightarrow 0$. In addition, as from our data $\chi \approx 2$ and $\tau_c < 0.15G$ (see Fig.2, inset) in our range of tests we deduce that $\chi G \gg \tau_c$. This inequality is even stronger for a liquid finger penetrating a YSF as the stress along the vertical interface is certainly lower. Thus, as the initiation of the instability requires $\Delta \rho ge > \chi G$, once this regime is reached the force due to density difference rapidly becomes much larger than the resisting force allowing a much faster motion of the perturbation. This explains the catastrophic effect observed in practice, which contrasts with the long-term stability obtained for slightly different conditions in terms of $\Delta \rho$ or $\tau_c$.

The above description is supported by a different set of experiments in which the elastoplastic regime is bypassed. This is performed by setting the material in its liquid regime just before allowing any contact between the two fluids. In that aim, we remove the intermediate liquid layer so that the tab is now in contact with the YSF. It appears that $G$ is not the relevant parameter in that case; even for very low $\Delta \rho$, the instability occurs for high values of $G$ (see Supplemental), although the stability limit can still be approximated by a model of the form $\tau_c \propto \Delta \rho$. As the tab is removed, it shears the upper material layers which then reach the liquid regime. In that case the perturbation no longer needs to overcome the elastoplastic resistance and progresses immediately through the YSF.

The description of the Rayleigh-Taylor instability for elastoplastic material, i.e. non-purely elastic solid materials, requires a change of paradigm: the boundary conditions are not as important as for simple solid or liquid materials, the instability is basically a local, catastrophic process.
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